



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.           | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/534,780  | 10/20/2005  | Yafan Huang          | 22542-010 NATL                | 1359                   |
| 30623 7590 01/17/2008<br>MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY<br>AND POPEO, P.C.<br>ONE FINANCIAL CENTER<br>BOSTON, MA 02111 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>BAGGOT, BRENDAN O |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>1638              | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>01/17/2008       | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                                      |                                     |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/534,780 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>HUANG ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Brendan O. Baggot | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1638             |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Brendan O. Baggot.

(2) Cynthia Kozakiewicz.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 January 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

*Harper et al WO 2002/16655, published 28 February 2002.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The issues discussed in the Response filed 10/11/07 were reviewed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)